

Linear Programming

Optimizing Linear Objective Function with Constraints

Kabui, Charles

2025-03-24

Table of Contents

1. Transportation Problem: Optimal Shipping Plan	1
Answer	2
Interpretation	4
2. Manufacturing Problem: Maximizing Profit (Product Mix)	5
Answer	5
Interpretation	6
3. Manufacturing Problem: Minimizing Production Cost	7
Answer	7
Interpretation	8

[Read at ToKnow.ai](#)

[Open in Kaggle](#)

[Download as Notebook](#)

1. Transportation Problem: Optimal Shipping Plan

A logistics company supplies goods from **three warehouses (W1, W2, W3)** to **four retail stores (S1, S2, S3, S4)**. The transportation cost per unit from each warehouse to each store is given in the table below. Each warehouse has a limited supply, and each store has a demand requirement. The goal is to minimize the total transportation cost.

To / From	S1	S2	S3	S4	Supply
W1	4	3	6	5	250

To / From	S1	S2	S3	S4	Supply
W2	2	5	3	4	300
W3	7	6	4	3	400
Demand	200	200	250	300	-

Decision Variables

Let x_{ij} be the number of units transported from warehouse iii to store j .

Objective Function

Minimize total transportation cost:

$$Z = 4x_{11} + 3x_{12} + 6x_{13} + 5x_{14} + 2x_{21} + 5x_{22} + 3x_{23} + 4x_{24} + 7x_{31} + 6x_{32} + 4x_{33} + 3x_{34}$$

Constraints

Supply Constraints

- $x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{14} \leq 250$ (Warehouse W1)
- $x_{21} + x_{22} + x_{23} + x_{24} \leq 300$ (Warehouse W2)
- $x_{31} + x_{32} + x_{33} + x_{34} \leq 400$ (Warehouse W3)

Demand Constraints

- $x_{11} + x_{21} + x_{31} = 200$ (Store S1)
- $x_{12} + x_{22} + x_{32} = 200$ (Store S2)
- $x_{13} + x_{23} + x_{33} = 250$ (Store S3)
- $x_{14} + x_{24} + x_{34} = 300$ (Store S4)

Non-Negativity Constraints: $x_{ij} \geq 0$ for all i, j

Answer

```
from scipy.optimize import linprog

# Cost coefficients
c_transport = [4, 3, 6, 5, 2, 5, 3, 4, 7, 6, 4, 3]

A_transport = [ # Coefficients for constraints (Supply + Demand)
    [1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], # W1 supply
    [0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0], # W2 supply
    [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1], # W3 supply
    [1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0], # S1 demand
    [0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0], # S2 demand
```

```

    [0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0], # S3 demand
    [0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1], # S4 demand
]

b_transport = [250, 300, 400, 200, 200, 250, 300] # Supply & Demand constraints
bounds_transport = [(0, None)] * 12 # Non-negativity

res_transport = linprog(
    c_transport,
    A_ub = A_transport[:3],
    b_ub = b_transport[:3],
    A_eq = A_transport[3:],
    b_eq = b_transport[3:],
    bounds = bounds_transport,
    method='highs')
res_transport

```

```

message: Optimization terminated successfully. (HiGHS Status 7: Optimal)
success: True
status: 0
  fun: 2850.0
     x: [ 5.000e+01  2.000e+02  0.000e+00  0.000e+00  1.500e+02
          0.000e+00  1.500e+02  0.000e+00  0.000e+00  0.000e+00
          1.000e+02  3.000e+02]
     nit: 6
lower: residual: [ 5.000e+01  2.000e+02  0.000e+00  0.000e+00
                  1.500e+02  0.000e+00  1.500e+02  0.000e+00
                  0.000e+00  0.000e+00  1.000e+02  3.000e+02]
      marginals: [ 0.000e+00  0.000e+00  1.000e+00  1.000e+00
                  0.000e+00  4.000e+00  0.000e+00  2.000e+00
                  4.000e+00  4.000e+00  0.000e+00  0.000e+00]
upper: residual: [          inf          inf          inf          inf
                  inf          inf          inf          inf
                  inf          inf          inf          inf]
      marginals: [ 0.000e+00  0.000e+00  0.000e+00  0.000e+00
                  0.000e+00  0.000e+00  0.000e+00  0.000e+00
                  0.000e+00  0.000e+00  0.000e+00  0.000e+00]
eqclin: residual: [ 0.000e+00  0.000e+00  0.000e+00  0.000e+00]
      marginals: [ 4.000e+00  3.000e+00  5.000e+00  4.000e+00]
ineqlin: residual: [ 0.000e+00  0.000e+00  0.000e+00]
      marginals: [-0.000e+00 -2.000e+00 -1.000e+00]
mip_node_count: 0

```

mip_dual_bound: 0.0
mip_gap: 0.0

Interpretation

- The **minimum total transportation cost is \$2,850.**
- **Optimal shipment plan:**
 - **From W1 to S1:** 50 units
 - **From W1 to S2:** 200 units
 - **From W1 to S3 & S4:** 0 units
 - **From W2 to S1:** 150 units
 - **From W2 to S2:** 0 units
 - **From W2 to S3:** 150 units
 - **From W2 to S4:** 0 units
 - **From W3 to S1 & S2:** 0 units
 - **From W3 to S3:** 100 units
 - **From W3 to S4:** 300 units
- **Shadow prices (dual values) for demand constraints:**
 - **S1 = \$4**, meaning if demand at S1 increases by 1 unit, total cost increases by \$4.
 - **S2 = \$3**, meaning an extra unit at S2 increases cost by \$3.
 - **S3 = \$5**, meaning an extra unit at S3 increases cost by \$5.
 - **S4 = \$4**, meaning an extra unit at S4 increases cost by \$4.
- **Marginals**
 - **W1 = \$0**, meaning increasing W1's supply doesn't impact cost.
 - **W2 = -\$2**, meaning if W2's supply increased, costs could reduce by \$2 per unit.
 - **W3 = -\$1**, meaning if W3's supply increased, costs could reduce by \$1 per unit.

2. Manufacturing Problem: Maximizing Profit (Product Mix)

A company produces **two types of products (A and B)** using **two machines (M1 and M2)**. The processing time (in hours per unit) and the profit per unit are given below. The company has a limited number of available hours for each machine. The objective is to maximize profit.

Product	M1 Hours per unit	M2 Hours per unit	Profit per unit (\$)
A	3	2	50
B	5	4	80

- Machine M1 has **600 hours** available.
- Machine M2 has **500 hours** available.

Decision Variables

Let x_1 be the number of units of product A produced.
Let x_2 be the number of units of product B produced.

Objective Function

Maximize profit: $Z = 50x_1 + 80x_2$

Constraints

Machine Time Constraints

- $3x_1 + 5x_2 \leq 600$ (M1 capacity)
- $2x_1 + 4x_2 \leq 500$ (M2 capacity)

Non-Negativity Constraints: $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$

Answer

```
c_profit = [-50, -80] # Coefficients (negative for maximization)

A_profit = [ # Machine constraints
    [3, 5], # M1 constraint
    [2, 4], # M2 constraint
]

b_profit = [600, 500] # Available hours
bounds_profit = [(0, None), (0, None)] # Non-negativity
```

```

res_profit = linprog(
    c_profit,
    A_ub = A_profit,
    b_ub = b_profit,
    bounds = bounds_profit,
    method='highs')

res_profit

```

```

message: Optimization terminated successfully. (HiGHS Status 7: Optimal)
success: True
status: 0
  fun: -10000.0
   x: [ 2.000e+02  0.000e+00]
  nit: 1
lower: residual: [ 2.000e+02  0.000e+00]
      marginals: [ 0.000e+00  3.333e+00]
upper: residual: [          inf          inf]
      marginals: [ 0.000e+00  0.000e+00]
eqlin: residual: []
      marginals: []
ineqlin: residual: [ 0.000e+00  1.000e+02]
        marginals: [-1.667e+01 -0.000e+00]
mip_node_count: 0
mip_dual_bound: 0.0
  mip_gap: 0.0

```

Interpretation

- **Maximum Profit:** \$10,000.0
- **Optimal production quantities:**

200.0 units of Product A and **0.0** units of Product B

- **Remaining capacities after allocating resources:**

Machine M1: Residual = **0.0** (Fully utilized) Machine M2: Residual = **100.0** (Unused)

- **Shadow price (dual value):**

Machine M1 = **16.67**, meaning that if we had one more hour of M1, the profit would increase by **16.67**. Machine M2 = **0.0**, meaning extra hours for M2 won't increase profit

3. Manufacturing Problem: Minimizing Production Cost

A furniture company manufactures **chairs and tables**. The company has **limited resources of wood and labor** and wants to **minimize the total production cost**.

Product	Wood Required (cubic ft.)	Labor Required (hours)	Cost per unit (\$)
Chair	5	2	30
Table	8	3	50

- Available wood: **800 cubic feet**
- Available labor: **300 hours**

Decision Variables

Let x_1 be the number of chairs produced.

Let x_2 be the number of tables produced.

Objective Function

Minimize cost: $Z = 30x_1 + 50x_2$

Constraints:

- $5x_1 + 8x_2 \leq 800$ (Wood availability)
- $2x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 300$ (Labor availability)

Non-Negativity Constraints: $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$

Answer

```
c_cost = [30, 50] # Cost coefficients

A_cost = [ # Resource constraints
    [5, 8], # Wood constraint
    [2, 3], # Labor constraint
]

b_cost = [800, 300] # Available resources
bounds_cost = [(0, None), (0, None)] # Non-negativity

res_cost = linprog(
    c_cost,
```

```
A_ub = A_cost,  
b_ub = b_cost,  
bounds = bounds_cost,  
method = 'highs')
```

```
res_cost
```

```
message: Optimization terminated successfully. (HiGHS Status 7: Optimal)  
success: True  
status: 0  
  fun: 0.0  
    x: [ 0.000e+00  0.000e+00]  
  nit: 0  
lower: residual: [ 0.000e+00  0.000e+00]  
      marginals: [ 3.000e+01  5.000e+01]  
upper: residual: [          inf          inf]  
      marginals: [ 0.000e+00  0.000e+00]  
eqlin: residual: []  
      marginals: []  
ineqlin: residual: [ 8.000e+02  3.000e+02]  
        marginals: [-0.000e+00 -0.000e+00]
```

Interpretation

The **minimum production cost is \$0.0**, meaning that the optimal decision is **not to produce any chairs or tables**.

Optimal production quantities:

- **Chairs: 0.0 units**
- **Tables: 0.0 units**
- The company should **not produce anything** to achieve the lowest cost.

Remaining resources:

- **Wood: 800.0 cubic feet unused**
- **Labor: 300.0 hours unused**
- Since no production takes place, all resources remain unused.

Shadow prices for wood and labor are both 0.0, meaning that increasing available resources would **not** change the optimal solution.

- This suggests that **there is no economic incentive to produce chairs or tables under the given cost structure**.

Disclaimer: For information only. Accuracy or completeness not guaranteed. Illegal use prohibited. Not professional advice or solicitation. **Read more:** [/terms-of-service](#)